Impact of the Readout Modes, Signal Fitting and Time Sampling on the total noise of a H2RG near-IR detectors

Bogna Kubik



Institut de Physique Nucléaire de Lyon (IPNL)

NDIP Tours 30 June 2014

ション ふゆ マ キャット マックシン

Understand the Geometry of the Universe with 2 cosmological probes: Weak Gravitational Lensing and Baryon Acoustic Oscillations



- Dark Matter CDM paradigm for structure formation, sum of the ν masses to a precision better than 0.04 eV when combined with Planck.
- Dark Energy expansion history and structure growth (DE equation of state parameters measured to a precision of 2%)
- Gravity GR vs modified-gravity theories (growth rate exponent y with a precision of 2%)
- Wide survey: $> 15,000 \text{ deg}^2$ (36% of the total sky)
- Deep survey: $> 40 \text{ deg}^2$ (2 mag deeper than wide survey)
- Weak Lensing: shapes and shear of > 30 galaxies/arcmin² for $0 < z < \sim 2$, accuracy $dz/z \sim 0.04 \rightarrow$ very high image quality and stability (ellipticity, FWHM, R2)

systematic $\sigma_{sys} < 10^{-7}$

Rudid

ii uo 👔

• Galaxy clustering; redshifts for >3500 galaxies/deg² in the range 0.7 < z < 2.05 with accuracy dz/z < 0.001

bkubik@ipnl.in2p3.fr \cdot

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三日 のへで

NISP and H2RG

- Slitless Near Infrared Spectrometer Photometer
- 16 HgCdTe sensors (H2RG Teledyne) operating at 90-100 K
- $\lambda_{cut} = 2.3 \ \mu\text{m}$, pixel pith 18×18 μm (0.3×0.3 arcsec)
- 4 grisms (blue and red), 3 filters: Y, J, H
- NISP field of view $\sim 0.54 \text{ deg}^2$
- Need $10^4 10^5$ spectra down to AB= 24 mag to calibrate the photo-z photometry



Need of a very precise redshift measurements \downarrow

the best signal fitting method and the best detectors readout mode

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日



IPNL facility

- \bullet Passive cooling with liquid nitrogen < 1 K/min.
- Operating temperature T = 90 K with 3 mK stability over all the exposures.
- Detector array can be read by 32 video outputs in parallel in full frame mode.
- \bullet Window mode \rightarrow read by a single video output.
- $\sigma_R \approx 15 \text{ e}^- \text{ r.m.s}, f_e \approx 2 \text{ e}^-/\text{ADU}$





Nondestructive sampling principle

- UTR \rightarrow cosmic rays can potentially be rejected with minimal data loss \rightarrow high readout noise, computational power and time consuming
- $\bullet~{\rm MACC}$ \rightarrow lower RO noise, processing power and time reduction

Euclid-NISP scientific modes: 1) photometry MACC(3,16,4) or MACC(4,16,0) 2) spectrometry MACC(15,16,13) (baseline) MACC(G,F,D) UTR(n) du [ADU] eset frames exposure time = (G*F + (G-1)*D) frame time exposure time = n frame time Reset frames integration time = (G-1)*(F+D) frame time signal fit differential fit optimal MACC(G,F,D) ignal lifference signal optimal fit Diffs/Signal Fit with cov matrix $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{a}$ T v-intercept time time bkubik@ipnl.in2p3.fr ・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Assuming that the frame readout noise is independent of the time sampling

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲国▶ ▲国▶ - 国 - のへで

1.

Covariance matrix for groups



• diagonal terms:

ipni

$$\langle (\delta G_k)^2 \rangle = \langle \left(\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \delta \rho_i^{(k)} + \delta f_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \delta f_i^{(j)} + \delta d^{(j)} \right) + \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} (m-k) \delta f_i^{(k)} \right)^2 \rangle$$

$$C_{kk} \equiv \langle (\delta G_k)^2 \rangle = \frac{\sigma_R^2}{m} + (k-1)(m-1)f + (k-1)d + \frac{(m+1)(2m+1)f}{6m}$$

ヘロト ヘロト ヘヨト ヘヨト

æ

Covariance matrix for groups



• off-diagonal terms:

$$\begin{split} \langle \delta G_k \delta G_l \rangle = \langle \left(\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \delta \rho_i^{(k)} + \delta f_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \delta f_i^{(j)} + \delta d^{(j)} \right) + \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} (m-k) \delta f_i^{(k)} \right) \\ \left(\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \delta \rho_i^{(l)} + \delta f_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \delta f_i^{(j)} + \delta d^{(j)} \right) + \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} (m-l) \delta f_i^{(l)} \right) \rangle \end{split}$$

$$C_{kl} \equiv \langle \delta G_k \delta G_l \rangle = (k-1)(m-1)f + (k-1)d + \frac{1}{2}(m+1)f$$



Covariance matrix - group differences



• diagonal terms:

$$\langle [\delta(G_2 - G_1)]^2 \rangle = \langle \left[d^{(1)} + \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} (if_i^{(1)} + (m-i)f_i^{(2)}) + \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m (\rho_i^{(2)} - \rho_i^{(1)}) \right]^2 \rangle$$

$$D_{kk} \equiv \langle (\delta(G_k - G_{k-1}))^2 \rangle = \frac{2\sigma_R}{m} + d + \frac{(m-1)(2m-1)}{3m} f$$

・ロト ・個ト ・モト ・モト

æ

ion 💬 🔤 🚾 Teda - e

Covariance matrix - group differences



• terms where $l = k \pm 1$:

ipni

$$\begin{split} \langle \delta(G_2 - G_1)\delta(G_3 - G_2) \rangle &= \\ \langle \left(\delta d^{(1)} + \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} (i\delta f_i^{(1)} + (m-i)\delta f_i^{(2)}) + \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m (\delta \rho_i^{(2)} - \delta \rho_i^{(1)}) \right) \\ \left(\delta d^{(2)} + \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} (i\delta f_i^{(2)} + (m-i)\delta f_i^{(3)}) + \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m (\delta \rho_i^{(3)} - \delta \rho_i^{(2)}) \right) \rangle \end{split}$$

$$D_{k,k+1} \equiv \langle \delta(G_{k+1} - G_k) \delta(G_{k+2} - G_{k+1}) \rangle = \frac{(m^2 - 1)}{6m} f - \frac{\sigma_R^2}{m}$$

MACC(4,16,0) $t_{exp} = 100 \text{ sec} \quad \text{flux} = 2.5 \ e^-/\text{sec} \quad \text{frame readout noise } \sigma_R = 10 \ e^-$

$$\begin{split} C_{kl}^{\text{simu}} = \begin{pmatrix} 28.5 & 32.0 & 32.4 & 32.3 \\ 32.0 & 86.9 & 91.0 & 89.9 \\ 32.4 & 91.0 & 146.3 & 148.8 \\ 32.3 & 89.9 & 148.8 & 204.6 \end{pmatrix} \quad C_{kl} = \begin{pmatrix} 28.2 & 31.9 & 31.9 & 31.9 \\ 31.9 & 88.2 & 91.9 & 91.9 \\ 31.9 & 91.9 & 148.2 & 151.9 \\ 31.9 & 91.9 & 151.89 & 208.2 \end{pmatrix} \\ D_{kl}^{\text{simu}} = \begin{pmatrix} 43.9 & 1.5 & 0.1 \\ 1.5 & 43.6 & 2.9 \\ 0.1 & 2.9 & 45.8 \end{pmatrix} \quad D_{kl} = \begin{pmatrix} 44.6 & 1.7 & 0 \\ 1.7 & 44.6 & 1.7 \\ 0 & 1.7 & 44.6 \end{pmatrix} \end{split}$$

GROUPS:

ipnl

- poisson and read noise correlates frames within groups
- poisson noise correlates groups
- y-intercept defined

• matrix $(n_g \times n_g)$, all terms $\neq 0$

GROUP DIFFERENCES:

- poisson and read noise correlates frames within groups
- read noise correlates groups
- y-intercept NOT defined
- matrix $(n_g 1 \times n_g 1) \ l > k + 1$ terms = 0

うして ふゆう ふほう ふほう ふしつ



Signal to noise ratio MACC(4, 16, 0) MACC(15, 16, 9) $\sigma_{0} = 10.00 \text{ [c]}$ 35 $\sigma_{\rm in} = 10.00 \, [e^-]$ 30 SNR SNR 25 COV 20 LSE COVd COVd 15 LSEd LSFd 10 20 6 8 10 12 flux [e/sec] 16 18 20 8 10 1 flux [e /sec 18 20 4 4 14

y-intercept noise





◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆注▶ ◆注▶ ─ 注 _ のへぐ

Signal to noise ratio for spectroscopic exposures $t_{exp} \approx 550$ sec



bkubik@ipnl.in2p3.fr

iph

▲ロト ▲圖ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三国 - のへの

2. Temporal correlations in readout noise

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆目▶ ◆目▶ 目 のへぐ

Temporal correlations in readout noise

- Most of the publications assume that the readout noise σ_R is independent from frame to frame and ...
- ... that it is independent on the time sampling sequence.
- Temporal correlations in noise induced by 1/f effects in the detector substrate and in the readout electronics.
- If the readout noise were uncorrelated then averaging n samples $\sigma^2(n)$ would scale as $\sigma^2(1)/n$

GOAL: Provide a noise model for different time samplings in nondestructive reads.

$$\sigma^2(n) \neq \frac{\sigma^2(1)}{n}$$

うして ふゆう ふほう ふほう ふしつ



Data preprocessing

- **(**) Window mode 8×64 pixels \Rightarrow constraint on high frequency response.
- **2** 100 kHz pixel clock + overheads $\Rightarrow \delta = 7.12$ msec window read time.
- **(3)** 1 exposure time ≈ 25 min sampled up the ramp.
- **(**) Dark current + stray light mean = $0.8 \text{ e}^-/\text{sec.}$
- Common modes subtraction: (in window mode we have no access to reference pixels)
 - Operation in single ended mode, reference channel data subtracted \rightarrow noise lowered by a factor of 2.
 - **②** Frame mean subtraction from each pixel \rightarrow noise lowered by 30%.





Data processing

ipni



- Construct a Multi-accum mode: N groups of n coadded frames
- $\Delta = \text{const}, \, \delta = \text{const}$
- Coadded group of frames:

$$G_k = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} s(t_0 + k\Delta + i\delta)$$

• Difference of two consecutive groups $D_k(n, \delta, \Delta) = G_k - G_{k-1}$:

$$D_k(n, \delta, \Delta) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} [s(t_0 + k\Delta + i\delta) - s(t_0 + (k-1)\Delta + i\delta)]$$

• Assuming stationary process we measure the noise of D_k as:

$$\sigma_F^2(n,\delta,\Delta) = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{k=1}^N \langle D_k - \langle D_k \rangle \rangle^2$$

bkubik@ipnl.in2p3.fr

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ 三 のへぐ

The noise does not scale as 1/n

∜

we expect some contributions from temporal correlations





Noise model

• Using the Wiener-Khinchin theorem

$$\langle s(t)s(t+\tau)\rangle = \int_0^\infty \cos\omega\tau |f(\omega)|^2 d\omega$$

• one can "easily" derive (Smadja et al. 2010)

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_F^2(n,\delta,\Delta) &= \int_0^\infty (1 - \cos(\omega\Delta)) |f(\omega)|^2 \times \\ &\left[\frac{2}{n} + \frac{4}{n} \frac{\cos(n\omega\delta/2)\sin((n-1)\omega\delta/2)}{\sin(\omega\delta/2)} + \frac{1 - \cos(n\omega\delta) - 2\sin((2n-1)\omega\delta/2)\sin(\omega\delta/2)}{n^2\sin^2(\omega\delta/2)}\right] \end{aligned}$$

• We assume that the top hat $(\omega_{min} - \omega_{max})$ filter does not affect substantially the Wiener-Khinchin theorem result.



| $t_{exp} = 1420 \text{ sec}$ | $\nu_{exp} \approx 0.7 \text{ mHz}$ |
|--|---------------------------------------|
| $\nu_{min} = \frac{1}{8 * t_{err}} \approx 80 \ \mu \text{Hz}$ | $\nu_{\rm frame} \approx 7 \ \rm kHz$ |
| $\nu_{max} = \frac{100}{\delta} \approx 15 \text{ kHz}$ | $\nu_{\rm pix} \approx 100 \ \rm kHz$ |

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへの

Fit results



- McWorther (1955, 1957) surface states number fluctuations
- Voss & Clarke (1976) resistance fluctuations induced by temperature fluctuations (metals)
- Hooge (1972) free charge mobility fluctuations

$$\left|f(\omega)\right|^{2} = A + \frac{B}{\omega^{\alpha}}$$



| | δ | Δ | A | В | α |
|---------|--------|----------|----------------|----------------|----------|
| | [msec] | [sec] | $[\mu V^2/Hz]$ | $[\mu V^2/Hz]$ | |
| set 1 | 7.12 | 0.46 | 0.388 | 119.04 | 1.14 |
| set 2 | 7.12 | 3.56 | 0.396 | 132.84 | 1.15 |
| set 3 | 7.12 | 14.24 | 0.412 | 139.12 | 1.34 |

• We observe a strong deviation from 1/n scaling law.

 For samples with higher Δ, σ²_F(n) decreases slowly with n ⇒ small variations of α ⇐ attributed to the imperfections of the model |f(ω)|². Noise predictions for different time samplings

The data (n = 16) are perfectly reproduced by fit results. conversion factor: $f_e \approx 2 \text{ e}^-/\text{ADU}$

| | $\sigma_F^2(n,\delta,\Delta)$ [ADU ²] | | Δ [sec] | δ [sec] |
|----------------|---|-----------|----------------|----------------|
| | measured | predicted | | |
| | 10.23 | 10.28 | 0.57 | 0.00712 |
| set $1,2$ | 12.66 | 12.36 | 7.40 | |
| | 13.81 | 13.38 | 14.70 | |
| cot 2 | 15.17 | 16.42 | 21.50 | 0.00712 |
| set o | 21.96 | 18.52 | 35.71 | |
| - | 26.17 | 26.10 | 57.07 | 0.00712 |
| $\alpha = 1.5$ | 29.16 | 28.47 | 71.31 | |
| | 37.98 | 33.82 | 106.91 | |
| $\alpha = 1.5$ | 16.56 | 16.56 | 20 | 0.1 |
| $\alpha = 1.5$ | 14.14 | 15.18 | | 1 |
| a – 15 | 13.52 | 12.39 | 24 | 1.5 |
| $\alpha = 1.5$ | 14.53 | 15.15 | 37 | 1.5 |



bkubik@ipnl.in2p3.fr

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Contributions from thermal noise, 1/f noise and shot noise





▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲国▶ ▲国▶ - 国 - のへで

Euclid-NISP science exposure times:

spectroscopic ($t_{exp} \approx 560 \text{ sec}$) and photometric ($t_{exp} \approx 100 \text{ sec}$).

| t_{exp} | n | Δ | δ | thermal (A) | 1/f (B) | current |
|-----------|-----|----------|----------------------|-------------|---------|---------|
| sec | | sec | sec | | | |
| 55 | 16 | 25 | 1 | 0.83 | 0.17 | 0.0008 |
| 100 | 16 | 68 | 1 | 0.73 | 0.27 | 0.0027 |
| 560 | 16 | 544 | 1 | 0.59 | 0.39 | 0.0154 |
| 560 | 280 | 280 | 1 | 0.22 | 0.75 | 0.0341 |

- For long spectroscopic exposures the 1/f noise is likely to dominate.
- It is challenging to evaluate the impact of the 1/f contributions on the measurement error on the fluence. A full covariance matrix must be introduced. We can guess that the 1/f component will at least equal and probably dominate over the thermal contribution.

CITS N2P3 Tended

| | time/power cons | y-intercept noise | SNR |
|------|-----------------|-------------------|------|
| LSF | no | high | low |
| COV | yes | low | high |
| LSFd | no | no | low |
| COVd | medium | no | high |

- Best RO mode with COV fit UTR = uses all the frames in the ramp MACC(ng, 2, 0) assuming time independent readout noise
- 1/f noise introduces time correlations in the frame to frame readout noise and may dominate in long spectroscopic exposures.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 のへで

• Model of noise for a given readout mode is provided.



Conversion factor - Photon Transfer Curve Method

• The conversion gain that converts digital units to electrons is determined using the Photon Transfer Curve (PTC) method.

$$\sigma^2_{ADU} = 2\sigma^2_{R, ADU} + \langle S_{ADU} \rangle / f_e$$

- Temporal sampling has the advantage of being independent on the pixel to pixel variations (of readout noise or quantum efficiency) within the array but places strict stability requirements on the array over a large number of exposures.
- For a precision of 5% at least 1500 samples are required if the conversion gain is to be measured independently for each pixel. The pixel readout noise and conversion gain are determined with 2% and 5% accuracy respectively for each pixel.





Nonlinearity correction

Assumptions

- Bias level constant during the integration and readouts. Any other systematic effects (such as image persistence, bias drift, or thermal instability of the array) corrected for before the nonlinearity corrections are applied.
- Nonlinear response of HgCdTe pixels can be approximated extremely well over the range 0 to 70000 e⁻ by a quadratic function

$$O(t) = a_0 + \varphi t - a_2 \varphi^2 t^2$$

- Linear term coefficient $a_1 \equiv 1$ for all exposures. This implies that a_0 and a_2 are common to all exposures and independent of the number and absolute value of illuminations. This assumption should be revised in presence of a flux dependent nonlinearity.
- Measurements
 - We take several exposures up the ramp at *M* increasing fluences in order to cover all the dynamic range of the detector in a short acquisition time.
 - M + 2 parameters ({ $\varphi_m, m=1..M$ }, a_0, a_2) fitted by minimizing a χ^2 :

$$\chi^{2} = \sum_{i,m} \frac{\left[O_{m}(t_{i}) - (a_{0} + \varphi_{m}t_{i} - a_{2}\varphi_{m}^{2}t_{i}^{2})\right]^{2}}{\sigma_{R}^{2} + \sigma_{P}^{2}(m, t_{i})}$$

• The correction applies to the absolute value of the recorded signal O(t).







- Gaussian quadrature of order n accurate for all polynomials up to degree 2n 1 (48 nodes).
- Current contribution Poisson noise correlations from coadding:

DI - signal between two groups, di is the frame to frame integrated flux in electrons (see Smadja 2010 or Rauscher 2009 for derivation)

$$\sigma_F^2 \rightarrow \sigma_F^2 + \langle P_n^2 \rangle = \sigma_F^2 + DI + \frac{(n-1)(2n-1)}{3n} di$$





ъ

1 D > 4 B >