Modeling crosstalk and afterpulsing in silicon photomultipliers

J. Rosado, V. Aranda, F. Arqueros and F. Blanco Departamento de Física Atómica, Molecular y Nuclear Universidad Complutense de Madrid

Definition of terms



Experimental method



- Dark conditions
- Room temperature
- Nothing attached to the SiPM

- Pulse identification from deconvolution
- Arrival time with ~1 ns precision
- Close pulses distinguished with a resolution of ~6 ns
- Peak of deconvolution proportional to avalanche amplitude
- More precise measurement of amplitude as pulse height with baseline subtraction



Experimental method



- Dark conditions
- Room temperature
- Nothing attached to the SiPM

- Pulse identification from deconvolution
- Arrival time with ~1 ns precision
- Close pulses distinguished with a resolution of ~6 ns
- Peak of deconvolution proportional to avalanche amplitude
- More precise measurement of amplitude as pulse height with baseline subtraction



Experimental results



Modeling optical crosstalk: hypotheses

L. Gallego *et al.* JINST 8 (2013) P05010

Probability distribution $P_1(k)$ (1 primary pixel + *k*-1 CT-opt)

- CT-opt only possible in a neighborhood of pixels around the primary one
- Same probability to excite any individual neighbor
- Cascades of CT excitations limited by local saturation effects (border effects ignored)



8 nearest neighbors



8 L-connected neighbors



 All neighbors

 I
 I
 I
 I
 I

 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I

 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I

 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I

 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I

 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I

 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I

 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I

 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I

 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I

 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I

 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I

 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I

 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I

 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I

 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I

 I
 I
 I
 <





Example for the 4 nearest neighbors: Two different CT-opt "histories" contributing to $P_1(5)$

Modeling optical crosstalk: formulations L. Gallego et al. JINST 8 (2013) P05010

Analytical expressions for $P_1(k)$ and related parameters

k	4 nearest neighbors 8 nearest neighbors		ghbors	8 L-connected neighbors	All neighbors	
1	$q^4(=1-\varepsilon)$	$q^8(=1-\varepsilon)$		$q^8(=1-\varepsilon)$	$q^{N-1}(=1-\varepsilon)$	
2	$4pq^6$	$8pq^{14}$		$8pq^{14}$	$\binom{N-1}{1} p q^{2(N-2)}$	
3	$18p^2q^8$	$12p^2q^{18}[1+2q+4q^2]$		$84p^2 q^{20}$	$\binom{N-1}{2} p^2 q^{3(N-3)} [1+2q]$	
4	$4p^3q^8[1+3q+18q^2]$	$\begin{array}{r} 4p^{3}q^{20} \big[1 + 3q \\ + 14q^{2} + 30q^{3} + 61q^{4} \\ + 59q^{5} + 72q^{6} \big] \end{array}$		$24p^3q^{24}[1+3q+38q^2]$	$\binom{N-1}{3} p^3 q^{4(N-4)} \left[1 + 3q + 6q^2 + 6q^3\right]$	
5	$5p^4q^{10}[8+24q+55q^2]$	$5p^{4}q^{24}[9+36q+98q^{2} + 188q^{3}+310q^{4}+372q^{5} + 520q^{6}+396q^{7}+341q^{8}]$		$4p^4q^{30}[180+540q+2521q^2]$	$ \binom{\binom{N-1}{4}p^4 q^{5(N-5)}}{\left[1+4q+10q^2+20q^3\right.\\ \left.+30q^4+36q^5+24q^6\right]} $	
p : prob. for 1 neighbor $q = 1-p$ $\varepsilon = F$			$\varepsilon = P_1(I)$	<i>k</i> >1) <i>N</i> :	number pixels of the array	
Geometric extrapolation for $k > 5$ $E_1 \approx \sum_{k=1}^4 k \cdot P_1(k) + P_1(5) \frac{1+4r}{r^2}$						
$P_1(k) \approx P_1(5) \cdot (1-r)^{k-5}$				$\operatorname{Var}_{1} \approx \sum_{k=1}^{4} k^{2} \cdot P_{1}(k) + P_{1}(5) \frac{2 + 7r + 16r^{2}}{r^{3}} - E_{1}^{2}$		
$r = \frac{P_1(3)}{1 - \sum_{k=1}^{4} P_1(k)}$				$ENF = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{4} k^2 \cdot P_1(k) + P_1(5) \frac{2+7r+16r^2}{r^3}}{\left[\sum_{k=1}^{4} k \cdot P_1(k) + P_1(5) \frac{1+4r}{r^2}\right]^2}$		

Validation with data at dark conditions

L. Gallego *et al.* JINST 8 (2013) P05010



Application to photon counting

L. Gallego *et al.* JINST 8 (2013) P05010

Under pulsed illumination, i.e., simultaneous incoming photons

$$P_{ph}(0) = P_{obs}(0), \quad P_{ph}(1) = \frac{P_{obs}(1)}{1 - \varepsilon}$$

$$P_{ph}(k) = \frac{1}{(1 - \varepsilon)^{k}} \left[P_{obs}(k) - \sum_{n=1}^{k-1} P_{ph}(n) \cdot P_{n}(k) \right], \quad k = 2, 3, 4, \dots \text{ Recursive}$$

$$P_{n+1}(k) = \sum_{i=1}^{k-n} P_{n}(k - i) \cdot P_{1}(i), \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \text{ P}_{1}(k) \text{ calculated for dark conditions}$$

Mean and variance of real number of photons:

$$\begin{split} E_{obs} &= E_{ph} \cdot E_{1} & \begin{array}{c} E_{1} \text{ and } Var_{1} \\ are \text{ known} \\ Var_{obs} &= E_{ph} \cdot Var_{1} + Var_{ph} \cdot E_{1}^{2} \end{split}$$

Approximation valid for *k* << total number of pixels, i.e., **linear range**



Modeling AP and CT-diff: method

Distribution of arrival time wrt the previous pulse (primary). We select primaries with amplitudes of 1 pixel and far from former pulses (> 500 ns)

- Poisson statistics for each source of secondary pulses
- 2 components of AP-trap with different mean release time
- Timing of carrier diffusion and the relative contributions of AP-diff and CT-diff obtained by Monte Carlo
- Pixel recovery and detection threshold effects included for AP

$$P(t)dt = \exp\left\{-R_{DC}(t - t_{min}) - \sum_{i} \lambda_{i} \int_{t_{min}}^{t} f_{i}(s)ds\right\}$$
$$\times \left[R_{DC} + \sum_{i} \lambda_{i} f_{i}(t)\right]dt$$

- $t_{min} = 10 \text{ ns}$: minimum *t* (analysis limitations) R_{DC} : dark count rate
- λ_i : average number of secondary pulses of type *i* per primary avalanche
- $f_i(t)$: normalized time distribution of secondary pulses of type *i*



Effective time distribution of afterpulses

For each AP-trap component

 $f(t) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{t}{\tau}\right) \mu(t) k(t)$ τ : mean release time of trapped carriers $\mu(t) = \mu_{\infty}\theta(t - t_0) \left[1 - \exp\left(-\frac{t - t_0}{t_{\text{rec}}}\right) \right] \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{Average AP amplitude} \\ & \propto \text{ pixel recovery } V(t) \\ & \propto \text{ avalanche probability} \end{array} \right]$ $k(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi C\mu(t)}} \int_{A_c}^{\infty} \exp\left[-\frac{(A - \mu(t))^2}{2C\mu(t)}\right] dA$ Fraction of APs above threshold A_c AP amplitudes at given *t* are assumed to follow a Gaussian distribution with mean $\mu(t)$ and variance $\sigma^2(t) = C \cdot \mu(t)$ Time interval (ns) 200 • (24, 26) Gaussian fits • (34, 36) • (44, 46) • (54, 56)





Fitting parameters:

$$l_{\infty}, l_0, l_{\text{rec}}, C$$

Monte Carlo of carrier diffusion



Results at given voltage

- 2 AP-trap components actually needed. The slow one is dominant $\tau_{slow} = 108 \pm 30 \text{ ns}$; $\lambda_{slow} = 0.170 \pm 0.022$ $\tau_{fast} = 23 \pm 5 \text{ ns}$; $\lambda_{fast} = 0.065 \pm 0.020$
- **CT-diff also important** at short time $\lambda_{\text{CT-diff}} = 0.062 \pm 0.028$ $\lambda_{\text{AP-diff}} < 0.012$
- Best fit for $\tau_p \sim 1 \ \mu s \ (N_d \sim 10^{18} \ cm^{-3})$, but not too much sensitive to the parameters implemented in the simulation

0.05

At short time dark counts and CT-diff can be separated from AP



Dependence on overvoltage

- **Consistency in the time distributions** $f_i(t)$ as a function of overvoltage ΔV . In particular, constant mean release time for both AP-trap components (i.e., τ_{slow} and τ_{fast}).
- Number of secondary pulses of each type λ_i
 (~ probability) grows quadratically as expected





Conclusions I

 We have developed an experimental method based on a waveform analysis to characterize CT and AP in SiPMs

Optical crosstalk:

- We constructed a statistical, analytical model taking into account:
 - Pixels have a finite number of neighbors
 - Cascades of CT excitations
 - Saturation effects due to pixel dead time
- Experimental data (S10362-11-100C and S10362-33-100C from Hamamatsu) are consistent with the hypothesis that CT-opt only takes place between adjacent pixels
- Correction for CT-opt effects on photon counting measurements at pulsed illumination of low intensity

Conclusions II

Afterpulsing and delayed crosstalk

- We constructed a statistical model based on:
 - Poisson statistics for number of secondary pulses
 - 2 types of traps with different mean release time
 - Timing of carrier diffusion and relative probabilities of AP-diff and CT-diff determined by Monte Carlo
 - Pixel recovery time and threshold effects included
- Slow component of AP-trap is dominant but the fast one and CTdiff are significant too
- AP and CT-diff probabilities grow quadratically with overvoltage
- We have not applied the model to any experimental case yet, but it is suitable to be implemented in a Monte Carlo simulation of an experiment to account for these effects

THANK YOU

Backup



Backup

Selecting primary pulses with amplitudes of 1 pixel

Selecting primary pulses with amplitudes of **more than 1 pixel**



Probabilities of AP and CT-diff increase by a factor ~2 as expected

Backup

MPPC S10362-11-100C (100 pixels) MPPC S10362-33-100C (900 pixels) 69.8 V, ~25°C 73.2 V, ~25°C AP-diff 0.007 0.007 AP-trap (fast) 0.006 0.006 AP-trap (slow) Probability (ns⁻¹) Probability (ns⁻¹) Propability (ns⁻¹) CT-diff <u>s</u> 0.005 Dark counts Probability 0.004 • Experimental data No afterpulses 0.001 0.001 0 0 50 50 100 150 200 0 100 150 200 0 Time wrt the previous pulse (ns) Time wrt the previous pulse (ns)

> Consistency in the time distributions More dark counts Higher CT-diff probability